arXiv:1110.4901v5 [math.AP] 17 May 2012

Energy identity and removable singularities of maps from a Riemann surface with tension field unbounded in L^2

Yong Luo*

Abstract

We prove the removal singularity results for maps with bounded energy from the unit disk B of R^2 centered at the origin to a closed Riemannian manifold whose tension field is unbounded in $L^2(B)$ but satisfies the following condition:

$$(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C_1(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C_1 is a constant independent of t.

We will also prove that if a sequence $\{u_n\}$ has uniformly bounded energy and satisfies

$$(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C_2(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C_2 is a constant independent of n and t, then the energy identity holds for this sequence and there will be no neck formation during the blow up process.

1 Introduction

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and (N,h) be a Riemannian manifold without boundary. For a $W^{1,2}(M,N)$ map u, the energy density of u is defined by

$$e(u)=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2=Tr_g(u^*h).$$

where u^*h is the pullback of the metric tensor h.

The energy functional of the mapping u is defined as

$$E(u)=\int_M e(u)dV.$$

A map $u\in C^1(M,N)$ is called a harmonic map if it is a critical point of the energy.

By Nash embedding theorem N can be isometrically embedded into an Euclidean space R^K for some positive integer K. Then (N,h) can be viewed as a submanifold of R^K and a map $u\in W^{1,2}(M,N)$ is a map in $W^{1,2}(M,R^K)$ whose image lies on N. The space $C^1(M,N)$

---

*The author is supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center SFB/Transregio 71.

should be understood in the same way. In this sense we have the following Euler-Lagrangian equation for harmonic maps

$$\triangle u = A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

The tension field of a map u, $\tau(u)$, is defined by

$$\tau(u) = \triangle u - A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u),$$

where A is the second fundamental form of N in R^K. So u is a harmonic map if and only if $\tau(u) = 0$.

Notice that when M is a Riemann surface the functional $E(u)$ is conformal invariant and harmonic maps are of special interest in this case. Consider a harmonic map u from a Riemann surface M to N, recall that in the fundamental paper [S-U] of Sacks and Uhlenbeck the well-known removable singularity theorem has long been established by using a class of piecewise smooth harmonic functions to approximate the weak harmonic map. In the paper [Li-W1], the authors gave a slightly different proof of the following removable singularity theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *[Li-W1] Let B be the unit disk in R^2 centered at the origin. If $u : B\setminus\{0\} \to N$ is a $W^{2,2}_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\}, N) \cap W^{1,2}(B, N)$ map and u satisfies*

$$\tau(u) = g \in L^2(B, R^K),$$

then u may be extended to a map belonging to $W^{2,2}(B, N)$.

In this direction we will prove the following result:

Proposition 1.2. *Let B be the unit disk in R^2 centered at the origin. If*

$$u : B \setminus \{0\} \to N$$

is a $W^{2,2}_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\}, N) \cap W^{1,2}(B, N)$ map and u satisfies the following condition:

$$\Big(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}} |\tau(u)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^a,$$

for some $0 < a < 1$ and for any $0 < t < 1$, where C is a constant independent of t, then there exists some $s > 1$ such that

$$\nabla u \in L^{2s}(B).$$

A direct corollary of this result is the following removable singularity theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $u \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(B\setminus\{0\}, N) \cap W^{1,2}(B, N)$ and u satisfies the following condition:*

$$\Big(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}} |\tau(u)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^a,$$

for some $0 < a < 1$ and for any $0 < t < 1$, where C is a constant independent of t.

Then we have

$$u \in \bigcap_{1<p<\frac{2}{1+a}} W^{2,p}(B, N).$$

Consider a sequence of maps $\{u_n\}$ from a Riemann surface M to N with uniformly bounded energy. It is clear that $\{u_n\}$ converges to u weakly in $W^{1,2}(M,N)$ for some $u\in W^{1,2}(M,N)$, but in general it may not converge strongly in $W^{1,2}(M,N)$ to u, and the falling of the strong convergence is due to the energy concentration at finite points. When $\tau(u_n)=0$, i.e. u_n are harmonic maps, Jost ([J]) and Parker ([P]) independently proved that the lost energy is exactly the the sum of the energy of the bubbles, recall that in the fundamental paper [S-U] Sacks and Uhlenbeck proved the bubbles for such a sequence are harmonic spheres defined as nontrivial harmonic maps from S^2 to N. This result is called energy identity. Furthermore Parker ([P]) proved that there is no neck formation during the blow up process, i.e. there holds true the bubble tree convergence.

For the case when $\tau(u_n)$ is bounded in L^2, i.e. $\{u_n\}$ is an approximated harmonic map sequence, the energy identity was proved in [Q] for N is a sphere and proved in [D-T] for the general target manifold N(the same result also proved by Wang [W] independently). In [Q-T] the authors proved that there is no neck formation during the blow up process. See also [Lin-W1]. For the heat flow of harmonic maps, related results can also be found in [Top1][Top2]. If the target manifold is a sphere, the energy identity and bubble tree convergence were proved by Fanghua Lin and Changyou Wang ([Lin-W2]) for sequences with tension fields uniform bounded in L^p, for any $p>1$. In fact, they proved this result under a scaling invariant condition which can be deduced from the uniform boundness of the tension field in L^p.

By virtue of Fanghua Lin and Changyou Wang's result, it is natural to ask the following question:

Qestion: Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence from a closed Riemann surface to a closed Riemannian manifold with tension field uniformly bounded in L^p for some $p>1$. Do the energy identity and bubble tree convergence results hold true during blowing up for such a sequence?

Remark 1.4. *Parker ([P] constructed a sequence from a Riemann surface whose tension field is uniformly bounded in L^1, in which the energy identity fails.*

In [Li-Z] the authors proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5. *[Li-Z] Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of maps from B to N in $W^{1,2}(B,N)$ with tension field $\tau(u_n)$, where B is the unit disk of R^2 centered at the origin. If*
(I) $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,2}(B)}+\|\tau(u_n)\|_{W^{1,p}(B)}\leq\Lambda$ for some $p\geq\frac{6}{5}$,
(II) $u_n\to u$ strongly in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(B\setminus\{0\},N)$ as $n\to\infty$,
then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$(still denoted by $\{u_n\}$) and some nonnegative integer k, such that for any $i=1,...,k$, there are some points x_n^i, positive numbers r_n^i and a nonconstant harmonic sphere ω^i(which is viewed as a map from $R^2\cup\{\infty\}\to N$) such that
(1) $x_n^i\to 0$, $r_n^i\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$;
(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\frac{r_n^i}{r_n^j}+\frac{r_n^j}{r_n^i}+\frac{|x_n^i-x_n^j|}{r_n^i+r_n^j})=\infty$ for any $i\neq j$;
(3) ω^i is the weak limit or strong limit of $u_n(x_n^i+r_n^ix)$ in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(R^2,N)$;
(4) Energy identity:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}E(u_n,B)=E(u,B)+\sum_{i=1}^{k}E(\omega^i,R^2).$$

(5) Neckless: the image $u(B)\bigcup_{i=1}^k\omega^i(R^2)$ is a connected set.

Lemma 1.6. *If $\tau(u)$ satisfies*

$$(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C is a constant independent of t, then $\tau(u)$ is bounded in $L^p(B)$ for some $p\geq\frac{6}{5}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2^{-k+1}}\setminus B_{2^{-k}}}|\tau(u)|^p &\leq C(2^{-k})^{2-p}\|\tau(u)\|^p_{L^2(B_{2^{-k+1}}\setminus B_{2^{-k}})}\\ &\leq C(2^{-k})^{2-p-ap},\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\int_B|\tau(u)|^p\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^{-k})^{2-p-ap}.$$

When $0<a<\frac{2}{3}$, we can choose some $p\geq\frac{6}{5}$ such that $2-p-ap>0$ and so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^{-k})^{2-p-ap}\leq C$, which implies that $\tau(u)$ is bounded in $L^p(B)$ for some $p\geq\frac{6}{5}$.

Thus theorem 1.5 holds true for sequences $\{u_n\}$ satisfying the following conditions
(I) $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,2}(B)}\leq\Lambda$ and $(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C(\frac{1}{t})^a$, for some $0<a<\frac{2}{3}$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C is independent of n, t;
(II) $u_n\to u$ strongly in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(B\setminus\{0\},N)$ as $n\to\infty$.

With the help of this observation, we find the following theorem:

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of maps from B to N in $W^{1,2}(B,N)$ with tension field $\tau(u_n)$, where B is the unit disk of R^2 centered at the origin. If*
(I) $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,2}(B)}\leq\Lambda$ and

$$(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C is independent of n, t,
(II) $u_n\to u$ strongly in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(B\setminus\{0\},N)$ as $n\to\infty$,
then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$(still denote it by $\{u_n\}$) and some nonnegative integer k, such that for any $i=1,...,k$, there are some points x_n^i, positive numbers r_n^i and a nonconstant harmonic sphere ω^i(which is viewed as a map from $R^2\cup\{\infty\}\to N$) such that
(1) $x_n^i\to 0$, $r_n^i\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$;
(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\frac{r_n^i}{r_n^j}+\frac{r_n^j}{r_n^i}+\frac{|x_n^i-x_n^j|}{r_n^i+r_n^j})=\infty$ for any $i\neq j$;
(3) ω^i is the weak limit or strong limit of $u_n(x_n^i+r_n^ix)$ in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(R^2,N)$;
(4) Energy identity:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}E(u_n,B)=E(u,B)+\sum_{i=1}^{k}E(\omega^i,R^2).$$

(5) Neckless: the image $u(B)\bigcup_{i=1}^k\omega^i(R^2)$ is a connected set.

Remark 1.8. *When*

$$(\int_{B_t\setminus B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\le C(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C is independent of n, t, we can deduce that $\tau(u_n)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^p(B)$ for any $p<\frac{2}{1+a}$ and when $a\to 1$, $p\to 1$. Hence our condition is stronger than the condition that the tension field is bounded in L^p , for some $p>1$, and this result suggests that we probably have a positive answer to the question on page 3.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we will quote and prove results which will be important in the following sections. In section 3 we will prove the removable singularity result and the last theorem, theorem 1.7, will be proved in section 4.

Throughout this paper, without illustration the letter C will denote positive constants varying from line to line, and we do not always distinguish sequences and its subsequences.

Acknowledgement I would like to thank Professor Guofang Wang for pointing out many typing errors and I also appreciate Professor Youde Wang for bringing my attention to the paper [Li-W1]. My interest in this kind of problem began at a class given by Professor Yuxiang Li at Tinghua university, and I had many useful discussions with him .

2 The ϵ-regularity lemma and the Pohozeav inequality

In this section we give the well known small energy regularity lemma for approximated harmonic maps and a version of Pohozeav inequality, which will be important in the following sections. We assume that the disk $B\subseteq R^2$ is the unit disk centered at the origin, which has the standard flat metric.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $u\in W^{2,2}(B,N)$ and $\tau(u)=g\in L^2(B,R^K)$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that if $\int_B|\nabla u|^2\le\varepsilon_0^2$, we have*

$$\|u-\bar{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})}\le C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B)}+\|g\|_{L^2(B)}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\bar{u}$ is the mean value of u over $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. We can find a complete proof of this lemma in [D-T]. □

Using the standard elliptic estimates and the embedding theorems we can derive from the above lemma that

Corollary 2.2. *Under the assumptions of proposition 1.2, we have*

$$Osc_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r}u\le C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{4r}\setminus B_{\frac{r}{2}})}+r\|g\|_{L^2(B_{4r}\setminus B_{\frac{r}{2}})})\le C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{4r}\setminus B_{\frac{r}{2}})}+r^{1-a}). \tag{2.2}$$

In order to prove proposition 1.2, we need the following Pohozeav inequality

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of proposition 1.2, for $0<t_2<t_1<1$, there holds true that*

$$\int_{\partial(B_{t_1}\setminus B_{t_2})}r(|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2)\le t_1\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{t_1}\setminus B_{t_2})}\|g\|_{L^2(B_{t_1}\setminus B_{t_2})}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Multiplying the both sides of the equation $\tau(u) = g$ by $r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$, we get

$$\int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \triangle u = \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} g.$$

By integral by parts we get

$$\int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \triangle u dx = \int_{\partial(B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2})} r|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} \nabla(r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r})\nabla u dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} \nabla(r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r})\nabla u dx &= \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} \nabla(x^k\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k})\nabla u dx \\ &= \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{t_2}^{t_1}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}|\nabla u|^2 r d\theta dr \\ &= \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial(B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2})} |\nabla u|^2 r - \int_{B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2}} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial(B_{t_1}\backslash B_{t_2})} |\nabla u|^2 r.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly it implies the conclusion of the lemma. □

By the above lemma, we can deduce that

Corollary 2.4. *Under the assumptions of proposition 1.2, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}}} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}})} t^{-a}.$$

Proof. In the previous lemma, let $t_1 = t$, $t_2 = \frac{t}{2}$, then there holds true

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}}} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 &= \int_{\partial B_t} (|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial B_{\frac{t}{2}}} (|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2) \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}})} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}})} t^{-a}.\end{aligned}$$

□

A direct corollary of the above conclusion is the following

Corollary 2.5. *Under the assumptions of proposition 1.2, we can get that*

$$\int_{B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}}} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_t)} t^{1-a}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Integrating from 0 to t over the both sides of the inequality of the above corollary, and noting that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_s\backslash B_{\frac{s}{2}})} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_t)}$, for any $s \leq t$, we get this inequality directly.
□

3 Removable of singularities

In this section we will discuss the issues on the removable of singularities of a class of approximated harmonic maps from the unit disk of R^2 centered at the origin to a closed Riemannian manifold N.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that u satisfies the assumptions of proposition 1.2, then there are constants $\lambda > 0$, $C > 0$, such that the following holds true*

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C r^{\lambda}, \tag{3.1}$$

for r small enough.

Proof. Because we only need to prove the lemma for r is small, we can assume that $E(u, B) < \varepsilon_0$. Let $u^*(r) : (0,1) \to R^K$ be a curve defined as follows

$$u^*(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} u(r,\theta) d\theta.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta.$$

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} \nabla u \nabla (u - u^*) &\geq \int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} (|\nabla u|^2 - |\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} |\nabla u|^2 - C(2^{-k}t)^{1-a},\end{aligned}$$

where in the above second inequality we have used (2.4).

Summing k from 0 to infinity we get

$$\int_{B_t} \nabla u \nabla (u - u^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_t} |\nabla u|^2 - Ct^{1-a}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} \nabla u \nabla (u - u^*) &= -\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} (u - u^*) \triangle u \\ &+ \int_{\partial(B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t})} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(u - u^*) \\ &= -\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}} (u - u^*)(\tau(u) - A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)) \\ &+ \int_{\partial(B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t})} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(u - u^*).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by summing k from 0 to infinity we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_t}\nabla u\nabla(u-u^*) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\|u-u^*\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t})}[\|A\|_{L^\infty}\int_{B_{2^{-k}t}\backslash B_{2^{-k-1}t}}|\nabla u|^2 \\ &+ C(2^{-k}t)^{1-a}]+\int_{\partial B_t}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(u-u^*) \\ &\leq \epsilon\int_{B_t}|\nabla u|^2+Ct^{1-a}+\int_{\partial B_t}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(u-u^*),\end{aligned}$$

note that here we have used corollary 2.2 and we let ϵ small by letting t small.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\partial B_t}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(u-u^*)| &\leq (\int_{\partial B_t}|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{\partial B_t}|u-u^*|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\int_0^{2\pi}t^2|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2d\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_0^{2\pi}|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\theta}|^2d\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}(|\frac{\partial u}{\partial\theta}|^2+t^2|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2)d\theta \\ &= \frac{t}{2}\int_{\partial B_t}|\nabla u|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two sides of inequalities and let ϵ small(we can do this by letting t small), we conclude that there is a λ which is a positive constant smaller than one such that

$$\lambda\int_{B_t}|\nabla u|^2\leq t\int_{\partial B_t}|\nabla u|^2+Ct^{1-a}.$$

Now denote $f(t)=\int_{B_t}|\nabla u|^2$, then we get the following ordinary differential inequality

$$(\frac{f(t)}{t^\lambda})'\geq -Ct^{-\lambda-a}.$$

Note that we can let λ small enough such that $\lambda+a<1$, thus we can get that

$$f(t)=\int_{B_t}|\nabla u|^2\leq Ct^\lambda,\quad for\ \ t\ \ small\ \ enough.$$

□

Now we are in a position to give a complete proof of proposition 1.2. Let $r_k=2^{-k}$ and $v_k(x)=u(r_kx)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_{B_2\backslash B_1}|\nabla v_k|^{2s})^{\frac{1}{2s}} &\leq C\|v_k-\bar{v_k}\|_{W^{2,2}(B_2\backslash B_1)} \\ &\leq (\int_{B_4\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}}}|\nabla v_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}+C(\int_{B_{4r_k}\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}r_k}}r_k^2|\tau|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_2\backslash B_1}|\nabla v_k|^{2s} &\leq C(\int_{B_4\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}}}|\nabla v_k|^2)^s+C(\int_{B_{4r_k}\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}r_k}}r_k^2|\tau|^2)^s \\ &\leq C(\int_{B_4\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}}}|\nabla v_k|^2)^s+Cr_k^{2s(1-a)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that when k is large enough, there holds true

$$\int_{B_{4r_k}\setminus B_{\frac{r_k}{2}}} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1.$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} r_k^{2s-2}\int_{B_{2r_k}\setminus B_{r_k}} |\nabla u|^{2s} &\leq C(\int_{B_{4r_k}\setminus B_{\frac{r_k}{2}}} |\nabla u|^2)^s + Cr_k^{2s(1-a)} \\ &\leq C\int_{B_{4r_k}\setminus B_{\frac{r_k}{2}}} |\nabla u|^2 + Cr_k^{2s(1-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{B_{2r_k}\setminus B_{r_k}} |\nabla u|^{2s} \leq Cr_k^{2-2s}r_k^{\lambda} + Cr_k^{2-2sa}.$$

Now choose $s > 1$ such that $2s - 2 < \frac{\lambda}{2}$ and $2 - 2sa > 0$. There exists a positive integer k_0 such that when $k \geq k_0$ there holds true

$$\int_{B_{2^{-k+1}}\setminus B_{2^{-k}}} |\nabla u|^{2s} \leq C(2^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}k} + 2^{-k(2-2sa)}).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^{2s} \leq C\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty}(2^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}k} + 2^{-k(2-2sa)})) \leq C,$$

for any $r \leq 2^{-k_0+1}$, and this completes the proof of proposition 1.2.

Proof of theorem 1.3: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2^{-k}}\setminus B_{2^{-k-1}}} |\tau(u)|^p &\leq C(2^{-k})^{2-p}(\int_{B_{2^{-k}}\setminus B_{2^{-k-1}}} |\tau(u)|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C(2^{-k})^{2-p-pa}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can deduce from this by summing k from 0 to infinity that

$$\int_B |\tau(u)|^p \leq C \quad for \quad p \quad < \quad \frac{2}{1+a}.$$

Recall that we have proved that $\nabla u \in L^{2s}(B)$ for some $s > 1$, hence we can deduce that

$$u \in \bigcap_{1<p<\frac{2}{1+a}} W^{2,p}(B, N),$$

by standard elliptic estimates and the bootstrap argument. □

4 The bubble tree structure

4.1 Energy identity

Assume that $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence which is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(B,N)$ and satisfies

$$(\int_{B_t\backslash B_{\frac{t}{2}}}|\tau(u_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\le C(\frac{1}{t})^a,$$

for some $0<a<1$ and for any $0<t<1$, where C is a constant independent of n and t.

In this section, we will prove the energy identity for this sequence and for convenience we will assume that there is only one bubble ω which is the strong limit of $u_n(r_n\cdot)$ in $W^{1,2}_{loc}(R^2,N)$.

Because

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_B|\nabla u_n|^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B\backslash B_\delta}|\nabla u_n|^2+\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2+\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_{Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2.$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B\backslash B_\delta}|\nabla u_n|^2=\int_B|\nabla u|^2,$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_{Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2=\int_{R^2}|\nabla\omega|^2,$$

hence to prove the energy identity we only need to prove that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2=0. \tag{4.1}$$

At first we note that under the assumption of only one bubble we can deduce by a standard blow up argument the following

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\epsilon>0$, there exist R and δ such that*

$$\int_{B_{2\lambda}\backslash B_\lambda}|\nabla u_n|^2\le\epsilon^2,\quad for\quad any\quad \lambda\in(\frac{Rr_n}{2},2\delta). \tag{4.2}$$

Now we have been prepared to prove the energy identity. The proof is a little similar with the proof in the previous section. We assume that $\delta=2^{m_n}Rr_n$ where m_n is a positive integer.

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}}\nabla u_n\nabla(u_n-u_n^*) &\ge \int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}}(|\nabla u_n|^2-|\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}|^2)\\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2-C(2^kRr_n)^{1-a}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}}\nabla u_n\nabla(u_n-u_n^*)\ge\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}}|\nabla u_n|^2-C\delta^{1-a}.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}} \nabla u_n \nabla(u_n - u_n^*) &= -\int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}} (u_n - u_n^*)\triangle u_n \\ &+ \int_{\partial(B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) \\ &= -\int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}} (u_n - u_n^*)(\tau(u_n) - A(u_n)(\nabla u_n, \nabla u_n)) \\ &+ \int_{\partial(B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from the above by summing from 1 to m_n that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}} \nabla u_n \nabla(u_n - u_n^*) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \|u_n - u_n^*\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n})}[\|A\|_{L^\infty} \int_{B_{2^kRr_n}\backslash B_{2^{k-1}Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \\ &+ C(2^kRr_n)^{1-a}] + \int_{\partial(B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 + C\delta^{1-a} + \int_{\partial(B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the two sides we get that

$$(1-2\epsilon)\int_{B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq C\delta^{1-a} + 2\int_{\partial(B_\delta\backslash B_{Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*).$$

As for the boundary terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial B_\delta} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) &\leq (\int_{\partial B_\delta} |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{\partial B_\delta} |u_n - u_n^*|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\int_0^{2\pi} \delta^2 |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} d\theta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_0^{2\pi} |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \theta}|^2 d\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi} \delta^2 |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \theta}|^2 d\theta \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{2}\int_0^{2\pi} |\nabla u_n|^2 d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

By trace embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi} |\nabla u_n(.,\delta)|^2 \delta d\theta &= \int_{\partial B_\delta} |\nabla u_n(.,\delta)|^2 dS_\delta \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla u_n\|^2_{W^{1,2}(B_{\frac{3\delta}{2}}\backslash B_{\frac{\delta}{2}})} \\ &\leq C\delta \|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|^2_{W^{2,2}(B_{\frac{3\delta}{2}}\backslash B_{\frac{\delta}{2}})} \\ &\leq C\delta(\frac{1}{\delta}\|\nabla u_n\|^2_{L^2(B_{2\delta})} + \|\tau(u_n)\|^2_{L^2(B_{2\delta}\backslash B_{\frac{\delta}{4}})}) \\ &\leq C\delta^{1-2a},\end{aligned}$$

for δ small. From this we deduce that

$$\int_{\partial B_\delta} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) \le C\delta^{2(1-a)}.$$

Similarly we get

$$\int_{\partial B_{Rr_n}} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) \le C(Rr_n)^{2(1-a)},$$

for n big enough. Therefore

$$(1-2\epsilon)\int_{B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \le C\delta^{1-a} + C\delta^{2(1-a)} + C(Rr_n)^{2(1-a)}.$$

Clearly this implies that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

which completes the proof of the energy identity. □

4.2 Neckless

In this part we will prove that there is no neck between the base map u and the bubble ω, i.e. the C^0 compactness of the sequence modulo bubbles.

We only need to prove the following

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} Osc_{B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}} u_n = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Again we assume that $\delta = 2^{m_n}Rr_n$ and let $Q(t) = B_{2^{t+t_0}Rr_n} \setminus B_{2^{t_0-t}Rr_n}$, similarly to the proof of the previous part we can get

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2\epsilon)\int_{Q(k)} |\nabla u_n|^2 &\le 2^{k+t_0}Rr_n \int_{\partial B_{2^{k+t_0}Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 + 2^{t_0-k}Rr_n \int_{\partial B_{2^{t_0-k}Rr_n}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \\ &+ C(2^{k+t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote $f(t) = \int_{Q(t)} |\nabla u_n|^2$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2\epsilon)f(t) &\le (1-2\epsilon)f(k+1) \\ &\le \frac{1}{\log 2} f'(k+1) + C(2^{k+t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a},\end{aligned}$$

for $k \le t \le k+1$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}f'(k+1) - f'(t) &= \int_{\partial(B_{2^{k+1+t_0}Rr_n} \setminus B_{2^{t+t_0}Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) + \int_{\partial(B_{2^{t_0-t}Rr_n} \setminus B_{2^{t_0-k-1}Rr_n})} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}(u_n - u_n^*) \\ &\le C(2^{t+t_0}Rr_n)^{2(1-a)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(1-2\epsilon)f(t) \le \frac{1}{\log 2} f'(t) + C(2^{t+t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a}. \tag{4.5}$$

In virtue of the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2^{-(1-2\epsilon)t}f(t))' &= 2^{-(1-2\epsilon)t}f'(t) - (1-2\epsilon)2^{-(1-2\epsilon)t}f(t)\log 2 \\
&\ge -C2^{(1-a-(1-2\epsilon))t}(2^{t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this from 1 to L we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-(1-2\epsilon)L}f(L) - 2^{-(1-2\epsilon)}f(1) &\ge -C\int_1^L 2^{(1-a-(1-2\epsilon))t}(2^{t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a} \\
&= -C\frac{2^{(1-a-(1-2\epsilon))t}}{\log 2(1-a-(1-2\epsilon))}\Big|_1^L (2^{t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a} \\
&\ge -C(2^{t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$f(1) \le f(L)2^{-(1-2\epsilon)(L-1)} + C(2^{t_0}Rr_n)^{1-a}. \tag{4.6}$$

Now let $t_0 = i$ and $D_i = B_{2^{i+1}Rr_n} \setminus B_{2^iRr_n}$, then we have

$$f(1) = \int_{D_i \bigcup D_{i-1}} |\nabla u_n|^2,$$

and equality will hold true for L satisfying

$$Q(L) \subseteq B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n} = B_{2^{m_n}Rr_n} \setminus B_{Rr_n}.$$

In other words L should satisfy
(1) $i - L \ge 0$;
(2) $i + L \le M_n$.

If
(I) $i \le \frac{1}{2}m_n$, let $L = i$ and so

$$f(1) = \int_{D_i \bigcup D_{i-1}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \le CE^2(u_n, B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n})2^{-(1-2\epsilon)i} + C(2^iRr_n)^{1-a};$$

(II) $i > \frac{1}{2}m_n$, let $L = m_n - i$ and so

$$f(1) = \int_{D_i \bigcup D_{i-1}} |\nabla u_n|^2 \le CE^2(u_n, B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n})2^{-(1-2\epsilon)(m_n-i)} + C(2^iRr_n)^{1-a}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_n} E(u_n, D_i) &\le CE(u_n, B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n})\Big(\sum_{i\le\frac{1}{2}m_n} 2^{-i\frac{1-2\epsilon}{2}} + \sum_{i>\frac{1}{2}m_n} 2^{-(m_n-i)\frac{1-2\epsilon}{2}}\Big) + C\sum_{i=1}^{m_n}(2^iRr_n)^{\frac{1-a}{2}} \\
&\le CE(u_n, B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}) + C\delta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} Osc_{B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}} u_n &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{m_n}(E(u_n, D_i) + (2^i R r_n)^{1-a}) \\ &\leq CE(u_n, B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}) + C\delta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the above inequality implies that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} Osc_{B_\delta \setminus B_{Rr_n}} u_n = 0,$$

which completes the proof of the Neckless. □

References

[D] W. Ding: Lectures on the heat flow of harmonic maps. *Manuscript.*

[D-T] W. Ding and G. Tian: Energy identity for a class of approximate harmonic maps from surfaces, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **3** (1996), 543-554.

[J] J. Jost: Two-dimensional geometric variational problems, *Proceedings of the ICM, Vol 1,* **2** 1986, 1094-1100. Amer. Math. Soc, RI, 1987.

[Li-W1] Yuxiang. Li and Youde. Wang: Bubbling location for sequences of approximate f-harmonic maps from surfaces, *International Jounral of Mathematics,* 2009, 1-19.

[Li-Z] Jiayu. Li and Xiangrong. Zhu: Energy identity of the maps from a surface with tension field bounded in L^p, *Perprint.*

[Lin-W1] F. Lin and C. Wang: Energy identity of harmonic map flows from surfaces at finite singular time, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **6** 1998, 369-380.

[Lin-W2] F. Lin and C. Wang: Harmonic and Quasi-harmonic spheres, Part II, *Comm. Anal. Geom.***10** 2002, no.2, 341-375.

[P] T.H. Parker: Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps. *J. D. G.* **44** (1996), 595-633.

[Q] J. Qing: On singularities of the heat flow for harmonic maps from surfaces into spheres, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **3** 1995, no. 1-2, 297-315.

[Q-T] J. Qing and G. Tian: Bubbling of the heat flow for harmonic maps from surfaces, *Comm. Appl. Math.* **50** 1997, no.4, 295-310.

[S-U] J.Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck: The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres, *Ann. of Math.* **2** 113, 1981, no.1, 1-24.

[Top1] P. Topping: Repulsion and quantization in almost-harmonic maps, and asyptotic of the harmonic flow, *Ann. of Math.* **2** 159, 2004, no.2, 465-534.

[Top2] P.Topping: Winding behavior of finite-time singularities of harmonic flow, *Math. Z.* **247** 2004, no.2, 279-302.

[W] C. Y. Chang: Bubble phenomena of certain Palais-Smale sequences from surfaces to general targets, *Houston J. Math.* **22**, 1996, 559-590.

YONG LUO
Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universit
Eckerstr. 1, 79104, Freiburg, Germany
`yong.luo@math.uni-freiburg.de`